Signature

PTO/SB/21 (02-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 10/657,525 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date 9/8/2003 FORM First Named Inventor HECEIVED Charles Edwin Taylor Art Unit CENTRAL FAXICENTER (to be used for all correspondence after initial filling) 3634 Examiner Name Khoa H. Tran MAR 2 2 2 2005 Attorney Docket Number 3 **SHPR-01048USG** Total Number of Pages in This Submission **ENCLOSURES** (Check all that apply) After Allowance communication Fee Transmittal Form Drawing(s) to Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board Fee Attached Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC Pelition Amendment/Repty (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition to Convert to a After Final Proprietary Information Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Affidavits/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Terminal Disclaimer **Extension of Time Request** Identify below); Interview Summary and Comment on Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request Reasons for Allowance CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Daniel J. Burns Individual name Fliesler Meyer LLP Customer Number: 23910 Signature Reg. No.: 50,222 Date 2005 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being fecsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Typed or printed name **Bruce Ponte** 

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

**2**1002

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAR 2 2 2005

In re Application

Inventor(s): Charles E. Taylor Appln. No.: 10/657,525

Confirm. No.: 5728

Filed:

09/08/2003

Title: CD RACK WITH DUAL DISC CASE HOLDER

PATENT APPLICATION

Art Unit:

3634

Examiner: Khoa Tran

Customer No. 23910

#### CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION **UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents, the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Facsimile No. (703) 872-9306, on

March 22, 2005

Bruce Ponte

Signature Date: March 22, 2005

(Signature)

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY COMMENT ON REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The following response to the Notice of Allowance mailed on March 10, 2005 and includes an interview summary and a comment on the reasons for allowance.

#### I. Interview Summary Per MPEP § 713.04

Applicant's representative conducted a telephone interview with Examiner Tran on October 27, 2004. The following is a summary of the interview:

- 1. No exhibit or demonstration was conducted;
- 2. Claims 1-7, 9-10 and 15-49 were discussed;
- 3. No prior art was discussed;

-1-

Attorney Docket No.: SHPR-01048USG SRM/DJB djb/shpr1048USG/Stmt Reasons for allow.doc

- Amendments were agreed upon;
- 5. The general thrust of the discussion concerned whether the Examiner was authorized to amend the claims;
  - 6. No other pertinent matters were discussed; and
  - 7. The applicant will take no action.

## II. Comments on the Reasons for Allowance

Reasons for Allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims." In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance provided by the Examiner clear and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance.

Applicants respectfully request that these comments be placed in the application file.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 3/22/2015

Daniel I

Daniel J. Burns Reg. No. 50,222

FLIESLER MEYER LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4156 Telephone: (415) 362-3800

- 2 -

Attorney Docket No.: SHPR-01048USG SRM/DJB djb/shpr1048USG/Stmt Reasons for allow-doc